

## Adaptions to Roads with Existing 20mph Speed Limits

### Formal Consultation Notes & Officer Responses

	Name	Location	Objection/Support	Details	Officer Response
1	Somerbus	Orchard Way	Objection	Objecting as they do not want to see any further speed tables on their bus routes as they cause increase maintenance problems to their vehicles. Threatened to pull the service to Orchard Way. Subsequent email softened stance once I had explained the reasoning for the scheme, and requested we did not fully close the road when construction begins.	Comment noted in relation to increased maintenance to vehicles. Road closures to be avoided where possible, as they are both disruptive and expensive, but sometimes unavoidable as they are required to allow the contractor to undertake works safely for both operatives and members of the public.
2	Claire Parfitt	Orchard Way	Objection	Objecting as does not feel it is required or the road should be a 20mph speed limit.	A 20mph speed limit was imposed as part of the Bath and surrounding area 20mph roll-out programme agreed by the then Cabinet in April 2012. Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
3	Michelle Proudler	Orchard Way	Objection	Complete waste of time and money as they are not necessary.	The proposals are part of the 'Adaptions to 20mph speed limit' scheme and form part of the Council's 2015/16 Capital Programme.
4	Sam Hopkins	Orchard Way	Objection	Not ideal for the comfort of elderly passengers, feels that the scheme is being progressed for political gain and is not necessary.	A 20mph speed limit was imposed as part of the Bath and surrounding area 20mph roll-out programme agreed by the then Cabinet in April 2012, with a view to accident reduction as a result vehicles travelling at 30mph. The proposals are in line with relevant design standards, which accounts for passenger comfort when travelling over raised tables.
5	Lucy Milsom	Orchard Way	Objection	Doesn't feel that speed tables are necessary and disagrees with Cllr Bevans approach.	The proposals are part of the 'Adaptions to 20mph speed limit' scheme and form part of the Council's 2015/16 Capital Programme.
6	Karen Mitchell	Orchard Way	Objection	Doesn't feel the speed tables are necessary as it is not an accident hotspot. Feels money could be better spent on an alternative scheme in P-S-J. Fears that the bus service will be lost	A 20mph speed limit was imposed as part of the Bath and surrounding area 20mph roll-out programme agreed by the then Cabinet in April 2012, with a view to accident reduction as a result vehicles travelling at 30mph. Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
7	Mrs Williams	Orchard Way	Objection	Would inconvenience locals and doesn't believe Orchard Way should be 20mph.	A 20mph speed limit was imposed as part of the Bath and surrounding area 20mph roll-out programme agreed by the then Cabinet in April 2012.
8	Chris Pulsford	Orchard Way	Objection	Does not want to lose bus service due to implementation of speed tables.	Comment noted.
9	Mr P Moth	Orchard Way	Objection	Totally disagrees that speed humps are necessary.	A 20mph speed limit was imposed as part of the Bath and surrounding area 20mph roll-out programme agreed by the then Cabinet in April 2012, with a view to accident reduction as a result vehicles travelling at 30mph. Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
10	Frank Clarke	Orchard Way	Objection	Doesn't feel they are required as they feel road is safe as it is and there have not been any noteworthy accidents.	A 20mph speed limit was imposed as part of the Bath and surrounding area 20mph roll-out programme agreed by the then Cabinet in April 2012, with a view to accident reduction as a result vehicles travelling at 30mph. Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
11	Christine Clarke	Orchard Way	Objection	Feels local residents need to be listened to as the majority do not want speed tables. 20mph limit working fine and no recent accidents.	Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
12	Kathleen Thomas	Orchard Way	Objection	Petition with 17 signatures against proposed speed tables	Comment noted.
13	Andy Larks	Orchard Way	Objection	Feels traffic calming is unnecessary and maybe speed limit should be put back to 30mph.	A 20mph speed limit was imposed as part of the Bath and surrounding area 20mph roll-out programme agreed by the then Cabinet in April 2012.
14	Viviane Ilott	Orchard Way	Objection	Objects as relies on bus service to Bath and doesn't want it removed due to speed tables. Also thinks that tables are not comfortable for passengers.	Comment in relation to bus service is noted. The proposals are in line with relevant design standards, which accounts for passenger comfort when travelling over raised tables.
15	Andy Larcombe	Orchard Way	Objection	There have been 0 accidents since 20s were installed and public opinion is against further traffic calming measures.	Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
16	Tom Clifford	Orchard Way	Objection	Feels it is not required and that more enforcement and education would work better.	Comment noted.
17	Mrs J Parton	Orchard Way	Objection	Feels vehicle speeds are slower since 20mph was implemented, fears the bus service will pull out and that spending on of this nature is frivolous.	Comment noted.
18	Ken & Stella Cook	Orchard Way	Objection	Doesn't feel they are required and money could be spent better elsewhere	Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
19	Tristan Reakes	Orchard Way	Objection	Money could be better spent, noise of speed humps would irritate.	Comment noted.
20	Patricia Peacock	Orchard Way	Objection	Doesn't feel they are required and money could be spent better elsewhere, could be dangerous for ambulance patients and slow emergency vehicles.	Comment noted, although no objections lodged by Ambulance service.
21	Mrs Clements	Orchard Way	Objection	Feels something needs to be done about speeding, but not speed humps.	Comment noted.
22	Neil Hopkins	Orchard Way	Objection	Doesn't feel the road needs traffic calming. Most of the local opinion is against.	Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
23	Mrs Gillard-Sprake	Orchard Way	Objection	Feels the money could be better spent elsewhere.	Comment noted.

24	Joseph Szarka	Orchard Way	Objection	Feels P-S-J is overly targeted with speed restrictions. Feels the money should be saved and if implemented would cause discomfort to bus passengers.	Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
25	Mr Walker	Orchard Way	Objection	Feels this money should be used towards Council budget cuts	Comment noted.
26	Barbara & John Bailey	Orchard Wat	Objection	Complete waste of public money that could be better spent on other more problematic areas.	Comment noted.
27	David Dutton	Orchard Way	Objection	Feels that the road should revert back to a 30mph road as the speed limit is too low for a safely designed residential distributor road.	A 20mph speed limit was imposed as part of the Bath and surrounding area 20mph roll-out programme agreed by the then Cabinet in April 2012, with a view to accident reduction as a result vehicles travelling at 30mph. Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
28	Mr Gale	Orchard Way	Objection	Agrees with Traffic calming requirement however thinks speed tables are not the right option at this location.	Comment noted.
29	Paddy Cowley	Orchard Way	Objection	There is no evidence that speed tables will improve the safety or drivers' speed Orchard Way and therefore there is no requirement for them. The costs involved are out of proportion to any supposed improvements. The funds could be far better spent on other higher priority road improvements, including but not limited to safety.	Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
30	P-S-J Parish Council	Orchard Way	Objection	Feel that speed tables are not an effective solution, bus service may withdraw, money better spent elsewhere.	Comment noted.
	Nathan Hartley (former ward Cllr)	Orchard Way	Support	During my time as a councillor (2007-2015) a large number of residents, over many years, contacting me asking for measures to be introduced. Drivers go far too fast on this road and so something needs to be introduced to address this issue. This is why I asked Council in February this year, 2015, to allocate the £5,000 for the feasibility study for this cause.	Noted.
	Jonathan & Patricia Bennett	Orchard Way	Support	Tables are required to slow cars down and improve noise pollution due to the sometimes regular boy racers.	Noted.
	Amanda Clarke	Orchard Way	Support	Feels the speed tables are required as the 20mph speed limit has not lowered vehicle speeds as much as hoped since first introduced. Feels it would improve road safety for elderly and children.	Noted.
	Cllr Sarah Bevan	Orchard Way	Support	Feels that the current speed limit is not adhered to and therefore requires traffic calming (speed tables) to physically lower speeds which will in turn improve road safety in the street where children cross the road.	Noted.
	Cllr Karen Walker	Orchard Way	Support	Supports the traffic calming measures to slow vehicle speeds to an acceptable level for the 20mph speed limit.	Noted.
	Cllr Richard Clarke	Orchard Way	Support	Feels the speed tables are required as speeding has always been an issue in this street. This will also make the area safer for pedestrians crossing the road to bus stops etc when travelling to Bath.	Noted.
	Peasedown St John Residents Association	Orchard Way	Support	Many of the 2000 residents living in Orchard Way (which the residents association represent) have long complained that crossing the road is dangerous because of speeding vehicles. It has also been found to be one of the highest mean average speed locations for 20mph areas and therefore requires traffic calming to slow vehicle speeds.	Noted.
1	Citistar (bus company)	Englishcombe Lane	Objection	Object to the scheme as it will increase the maintenance liability to the bus that operates this route. Citistar feel the council should repair the roads on their routes as a priority rather than waste money on these types of schemes.	Comment noted.
2	Stephen Hill	Englishcombe Lane	Objection	Englishcombe Lane already has a 20mph speed limit which is more than sufficient.	Post-monitoring speed counts have determined that they have not reduced below the Council's criteria of 26mph and therefore requires physical measures to reduce speeds to an acceptable level.
	Sandra Pike	Englishcombe Lane	Support	Pleased as these measures may help them exit there road in a safer manor due to lower vehicle speeds on Englishcombe Lane.	Noted.
	Helen Self	Englishcombe Lane	Support	Lived on Englishcombe lane for 29 years, feels speed tables are required to aid pedestrian safety and slow vehicle speeds which havnt got much slower since 20s were installed.	Noted.
	Cllr Romero, Crossley, Sandry & Mcgall	Englishcombe Lane	Support	Fully supportive of proposals to keep speeds to an acceptable level at Englishcombe Lane.	Noted.
	Christian Eves	Englishcombe Lane	Support	Feels that the road is too wide to accommodate the 20mph and therefore requires traffic calming measures to slow traffic to 20mph which he supports.	Noted.
1	Mrs Winney	Weston Lane/Road	Objection	Busy through route across Bath and doesn't think we should inconvenience this. Bus services and ambulances use this route and therefore are not suitable for the location.	Raised tables do not seek to inconvenience to bus passengers, but aim to reduce vehicle speeds to a sufficient level. No objection has been received from the Ambulance service.
2	The Elms Weston Management com	Weston Lane/Road	Objection/comment	Bus comfort, not safe, lack of drainage, money better spent on maintenance. Partially withdrawn after justification sent.	Comment noted.
	Cllr Colin Barrett + Matthew Davies	Weston Lane/Road	Support	Fully supportive of proposals and are thankful this issue is finally being resolved.	Noted.
	Paul Campbell	St Ladoc Road	Support	Feels that the speed tables will bring road safety improvements to the street.	Noted.
	Cllr Gerrish + Simmons	St Ladoc Road	Support	Have fully supported proposals.	Noted.